



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_5021
Title of the Manuscript:	Community Based Monitoring under NRHM at Village Level in the State of Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	"Main variable: Score obtained by the members was studied." What sort of score. Even in the abstract this should be defined. Define the selection process for the subjects; random, convenience... Line 87-90: "The two objectives were; to study the effect of training in CBM on awareness and active participation of members of VHSNC and to study the effect of implementation of CBM process on awareness and active participation of members of VHSNC." I was not clear as to the two objectives. They sound like only one. This paper reflects an excellent attempt to document the efficacy of work that otherwise is assumed to be effective. I applaud the effort and encourage the authors to revisit the paper and possibly obtain some help with copy editing. The list of grammatical and style were so long that I would request another opportunity to review a revised manuscript.	It is defined in abstract. It is explained in material and methods section. The members of the committee were not randomly selected for interview but were selected based upon availability and willingness. In very few villages more than one eligible members. It is now mentioned. One comparison was between implementing and not implementing group. Second comparison was implementing after training and implementing without training.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some issues of style, grammar, and an economy of words should be used. Special attention should be made to the use or absence of definitive articles. Verb and noun numbers do not match (for example in line 111). Please define "small community." How many people and from where do these statics come?	The sentence is rewritten. Mention of small has been dropped. The paper is almost rewritten.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Generally, the writing style requires some major rewriting to improve readability and to reflect the professionalism required of such works.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		